ASSESSMENT OF FACEBOOK IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (SMES) IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF NASARAWA STATE AND AREA COUNCILS OF THE FEDERAL CAPITAL TERRITORY, ABUJA

Amarachi Adanma Ayozie & Professor M.S. Rabiu

Department of Mass Communication, Faculty of Communication and Media Studies Nasarawa State University, Keffi.

ada.ayozie@gmail.com

Abstract

This research examines Facebook's role in fostering growth for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Nasarawa State and the Area Councils of the Federal Capital Territory (FCT), Abuja. Employing a survey design, data was collected from 399 SME owners using unstructured response questionnaires. The study draws on Reception Theory and Uses and Gratifications Theory. Findings reveal that SME owners recognize Facebook's positive impact on visibility and growth through advertising. Challenges include affordability of data plans, financial constraints, and ignorance in using Facebook advertising tools due to poor internet connectivity. However, there's a willingness among SME owners to learn. Facebook is perceived as a cost-effective tool for business promotion, fostering entrepreneurship, and job creation. Recommendations include providing Facebook classes for SME owners, addressing internet issues, and promoting local business groups to share success stories. This study underscores Facebook's potential in empowering SMEs within the region.

Keywords: Facebook, Small and Medium Enterprises, Business and Development

Introduction

The sustainability of rural communities heavily relies on the vitality of small businesses, which contribute to job creation and economic activity (Memili, Fang, Chrisman, & De Massis, 2015). Despite their significance, rural retailers face operational challenges such as limited market size and technology integration (He, Wang, Chen, & Zha, 2017; Ring, Peredo, & Chrisman, 2009). Scholars propose that social media, particularly Facebook, can mitigate these challenges by facilitating information networks among retailers, consumers, and suppliers (Mangold & Faulds, 2009). Facebook offers an affordable marketing platform for rural businesses, enabling communication with customers and building brand credibility (Freedman, 2022; Elissa, challenges However, 2021). including SME owners' limited awareness of Facebook's full potential and the platform's

algorithmic and technical vulnerabilities (Marvers, 2021; Freedman, 2022). Despite these drawbacks, social media marketing, especially through platforms like Facebook, is recognized for its potential to enhance communication, build trust, and drive business growth (Yadav, 2013). Existing literature reveals a gap in understanding the social media, particularly Facebook, on SME development in Nigeria, necessitating empirical research to address this gap (Bresciani & Eppler, 2010; Cox, 2012). This study aims to fill this gap by examining Facebook's role in development within the Nigerian context. This study investigates the role of Facebook in developing SMEs in Nasarawa State and the Federal Capital Territory. It assesses Facebook's impact on SMEs' competitiveness and market presence and examines how SME owners use Facebook for daily operations. The study also identifies obstacles SMEs face when using Facebook and explores SME owners' opinions on integrating Facebook into their business activities.

Research Questions

- 1. What is the role of Facebook in developing SMEs in the select local government areas in Nasarawa State and Area Councils of the Federal Capital Territory?
- 2. To what degree does the use of Facebook contribute to the overall competitiveness and market presence of SMEs in the selected regions?
- 3. To what extent do SME owners utilize Facebook for their business operations within the designated regions?
- 4. What obstacles do SMEs encounter when utilizing the Facebook platform in the designated areas?
- 5. What opinions do SME owners hold regarding the integration of Facebook into their business activities in those regions?

Conceptual Clarification The Concept of Development

The concept of development is as old as the first apparition of human beings on earth. The need to develop is part of human life, and each of us develops at a different pace, and sometimes in different areas and directions. According to dictionary.cambridge.org (n.d.), development is "the process in which someone or something grows or changes and becomes more advanced". McGillivray, Kingsbury, McKay, Hunt, and Clarke (2008) see development as a concept with many meanings and definitions, where some see it as a process or outcome that affects negatively their lives, while others see it as both process and outcome and think it is a good concept to apply. Munck and O'Hearn (1999) see development as a process where people are dominated and their destiny is designed according to the western styles and ways, the latter being the dominants. For Kingsbury (2008, p.222), development in

general "is about the enhancement of the potential of people to emancipate themselves", and Hettne Bjorn says that "development is a contested concept" with different meanings, depending on where it is situated in history or/and who is the actor (Hettne 2009, p.1), while for Sen Amartya, the development is "the expansion of the capacity of human individuals to live fulfilling lives" (Sutcliffe and Parks 1999, p.138). Myrdal (1974) defines development as the movement upward of the entire social system.

Most research to date has focused on the use of Facebook to initiate and maintain relationships. In a study involving 364 university students, Golder, Wilkinson and Huberman (2007) found that one of the users' most frequent activities on Facebook is writing messages. To communicate. Facebook users can write to each other through private messages, chat, comments, and wall post messages that publicly appear on a user's page. Research has shown that Facebook is employed mostly to keep in touch with people and to know them better (Joinson, 2008; Golder et al., 2007; Sheldon, 2008; Wiese and Farrugia, 2009). Stern and Taylor (2007) surveyed 364 university students and found that only a small number of users try to meet new people or try to initiate a relationship via Facebook and that most of them use it to maintain already existing relationships.

Conceptualising Small and Medium Enterprises (SMES)

Defining the concept of SMEs proves challenging due to the varied criteria adopted by different countries. SMEs can be defined as an economic enterprise that requires less capital, have the quality to make quick decision, work in manual labor and have a low level of expenses for their management and production to lower-cost products. The small enterprises, at the same time, are dynamic companies, and innovative

assessing opportunities at the right time (Erken, 1990). Ayegusi (2004) characterizes small venture as a business that has an investment capital that is up to one hundred and fifty thousand naira and hires not more than fifty workers.

Social Media

Social media is a relatively new term that has evolved as a way to describe various platforms for online communication. Overall, the term social media refers to "any technology that facilitates the dissemination and sharing of information over the Internet" (Robbins and Singer, 2014, p.387). More specifically, Kaplan and Haenlein define social media as a "group of Internet-based applications that build on the ideological and technological foundations of Web 2.0, and that allow the creation and exchange of User Generated Content" (Kaplan and Haenlein, 2010, p.61); Web 2.0 indicates the adjustment to the Internet that allowed users to generate content.

Facebook

According to Lampe, Elison, and Steinfield (2006), "Facebook may foster relationship building by allowing users to track other members of their community" (p.167). Facebook users keep in touch with their peers by retrieving information about them on the website, keeping track of their activity, reading what they write, or looking at what they post on their walls. The researchers carried out two surveys among first-year students at Michigan State University and found that surveillance is mostly employed to keep in touch with high school friends. Similarly, Tufekci (2008) treats the concept of 'social grooming' intended as the "interest exchanging and browsing social information about friends and acquaintances"(p.550).

Cania, Nexhipi, and Korsita (2020) asserted that the function of SMEs is regarded as a highly effective and instrumental tool for fostering sustainable economic growth, reducing generating poverty, and employment. SMEs serve as sources of employment, competition, economic dvnamism, and innovation, while also fostering and stimulating entrepreneurial spirit. Additionally, due to their wider geographical presence compared to larger

corporations, SMEs play a crucial role in

promoting a more equitable distribution of

incomes. Banks and Haan (2017) posited that

Facebook can be an inexpensive marketing

tool that SME owners have access to;

however, challenges exist, as their training

and use of Facebook in many instances are

self-taught. They often lack knowledge of

how to translate the activity into revenue.

The Role of Facebook in Developing SMEs

Ghanem and Hamid (2020) stated that a business could use multiple touch points of social media and digital strategy to achieve a specific goal. The primary reason to start using Facebook was the fact that Facebook was the cheapest and easiest way to reach the target customers of the business. At the beginning of the business, it was important that the means used would allow reaching customers without larger investments (Gatautis, Vitkauskaitė and Reuver 2017).

Tracking the effectiveness of social media, affords SMEs the ability to identify both areas of weaknesses and strengths in using Facebook as their SM site preference. Becoming a new entrant into the social media world brings challenges and barriers for SMEs. The transition from brick-and-mortar to an online environment increases competition; whereas the physical location may hold a competitive advantage, entering an online environment may cause a shift immediately (Robinson, 2012).

Jambulingamis, Sumathi, Rajagopal (2016) argue that the Facebook platform allows bidirectional communication and community-built-in conversation and it helps entrepreneurs to interact with their customers thus, the government should create security policies and provide concrete guidelines that could encourage entrepreneurs explore Facebook to commerce and conduct awareness programs to gain confidence among entrepreneurs.

Theoretical Framework Uses and Gratifications Theory

The uses and gratification theory seeks to explain the relationship between an audience and how that audience uses the media. It was developed by Elihu Katz and Jay Blumler. This theory suggests that an individual seeks out the content that s/he has decided to consume. Wimmer and Dominick (1994) argued that this theory originated from the 1940s when researchers became interested in why audiences are involved in diverse forms of media. Others contended that the theory of Uses and Gratifications (U&G) stemmed from Schramm's model, which distinguished between immediate and delayed rewards in media consumption. During the 1970s, researchers focusing on Uses Gratifications (U&G)theory devoted significant attention understanding to audience motivations and how individuals utilized media to fulfill social psychological needs. This emphasis can be viewed partly as a response to intense criticism from other scholars in mass communication. Critics such as Elliott, Swanson, Lometti, Reeves, and Bybee highlighted four primary conceptual challenges confronting U&G: a lack of clarity in the conceptual framework, imprecision in key concepts, a convoluted explanatory structure, and a failure to grasp audiences' perceptions of media content (Ruggiero, 2000). Blumler and Katz

presented five core elements of Uses and Gratification:

- i. Media use is perceived to be goaldirected. We know exactly where to find the information we need. The audience is fully aware of the type of media it is looking for.
- ii. The audience is responsible for linking the type of media to fit their mass communication needs. The media itself does not look for an audience; instead, the audience chooses the media types that fulfill its needs.
- iii. Media competes with other sources for needs satisfaction. There are multiple ways to satisfy the needs of an audience.
- iv. Modern media competes with more traditional media.
- v. The audience has a sense of selfawareness of its motives and needs that allows it to share its media experiences as active media users.

In other words, the audience has power over their media consumption. Mass Media audiences use the media to satisfy one or more specific needs. This relates to the study because the Facebook user will maximize the full potential of the platform to improve his/her economic circumstances. On a larger scale, this will in turn reflect in the overall economic development of the targeted area.

Methodology

The study used a survey design with questionnaires to collect data from residents in Keffi, Bwari, and AMAC Local Government Areas in Nasarawa State and the Federal Capital Territory. Out of a population 1,098,122, 399 respondents were randomly selected. The questionnaire, which included both structured and unstructured questions, was vetted for validity and reliability. Data collection involved distributing 133 questionnaires per area for equal representation. Data analysis focused on calculating percentages to review the results comprehensively.

Data Presentation

Section A

Demography

uest	ion	Response	Count	Percentag	
1.	Do you own a mobile phone?	Yes	380	95.22%	
		No	19	4.78%	
2.	What Social Media applications do you use often?	Facebook	280	38.65%	
		WhatsApp	350	48.71%	
		Instagram	210	29.03%	
		Twitter	120	16.13%	
		Other	65	10.48%	
3.	3. How long have you used Facebook?	1-3 years	75	18.05%	
		4-6 years	74	17.82%	
		7-10 years	100	24.19%	
		11 & above	150	39.94%	
4.	What do you use Facebook for?	Chat friends and family	260	42.11%	
		Meet new people	90	14.04%	
		Post personal milestones	120	18.71%	
		Promote businesses	180	28.07%	
		Others	35	6.89%	
		All of the above	100	16.04%	
5.	How often do you post on Facebook?	Always	60	11.28%	
		Very Often	100	18.71%	
		Sometimes	150	28.07%	

Question	Response	Count	Percentage
	Rarely	80	15.03%
	Never	9	1.68%

Table 2: Knowledge of Social Media

The survey reveals that most respondents own a mobile phone, with WhatsApp being the most popular social media app, followed by Facebook. Many Facebook users have been active for over 11 years, primarily using the platform for chatting and business promotion. Posting habits on Facebook vary, with many posting occasionally, while fewer post very often or rarely.

Objective One: To investigate the role of Facebook in fostering the development of SMEs within the chosen local government areas of Nasarawa State and the Area Councils of the Federal Capital Territory.

Always = A Very Often = VO Sometimes = S Rarely = R Never = N

S/N	QUESTIONS	A	VO	S	R	N
6	Facebook can increase the	90	130	95	60	24
	visibility of small businesses in your community.	22.56%	32.58%	23.68%	23.68%	15%
7	Running adverts on Facebook	70	110	120	80	19
	can lead to the growth of SMES in your community.	17.54	27.57%	30.08%	20.05%	4.76%
8	Posting goods and services of	150	120	85	30	14
	SMES on Facebook consistently will enhance sales volume.		30.08%	21.28%	7.52%	6.20%
9	Facebook can aid in building	40	90	120	110	39
	meaningful connections with potential clients.	10.03%	22.56%	30.08%	27.57%	9.77%

Table 3: Impact of Facebook engagement On SMEs development

The survey suggests a positive perception of Facebook as a valuable tool for small businesses, enhancing visibility, growth, and sales. However, varying levels of agreement highlight the need for a nuanced understanding of Facebook's role in supporting SMEs.

Objective Two: To assess the impact of Facebook usage on the overall competitiveness and market presence of SMEs operating in the specified regions.

Strongly Agree = SA Agree = A Disagree = D Strongly Disagree

S/N	STATEMENTS	SA	A	D	SD
10	Your active engagement on Facebook	160	120	70	49
	personally impacted the competitiveness	32.08%	24.06%	13.78%	9.77%

	of your business in the regions where				
	you operate.				
11	Personal branding and online presence	140	110	80	69
	on Facebook contribute to the perception	28.07%	21.54%	15.67%	10.81%
	and market presence of your business in				
	the regions you serve.				
12	The content you share personally on	110	130	65	94
	Facebook contributes to building a loyal	22.56%	26.57%	13.29%	19.19%
	customer base and maintaining a market				
	presence.				
13	Direct interactions with customers on	180	95	60	64
	Facebook helps to gain a competitive	37.59%	19.79%	13.04%	13.78%
	advantage for your business.				

Table 4: SME owners' views on Facebook's role in business competition, expansion, branding, and customer loyalty.

The survey highlights how personal engagement on Facebook, through branding, content sharing, and direct interactions, impacts business competitiveness and market presence. These insights emphasize the importance of personalized digital engagement strategies in shaping business success.

Objective Three: To gauge the extent of Facebook utilization by SME owners for their day-to-day business operations within the designated regions.

Strongly Agree= SA	Agree = A Disagree = D	Strongly Disagree

S/N	STATEMENTS	SA	A	D	SD
14	Business owners are willing to learn how	45	120	130	104
	to use Facebook for their businesses.	11.28%	30.08%	32.58%	25.08%
15	SME owners in your community believe	60	110	95	134
	that Facebook is a catalyst that can help	16.04%	29.56%	25.53%	28.87%
	to expand their businesses.				
16	Small business owners do not have the	30	85	160	124
	time to maintain a Facebook business	5.64%	16.04%	30.28%	23.39%
	page.				
17	Business owners in your community	80	95	90	134
	believe that traditional methods of	15.04%	17.79%	16.82%	28.87%
	business promotion are more effective				
	than Facebook.				

Table 5: Business Owners' Attitudes in the utilization of Facebook for business operations.

The survey reveals mixed attitudes among business owners about using Facebook for their enterprises. While they recognize its potential for business expansion, time constraints and differing views on traditional methods complicate digital integration. These insights can guide targeted support and education for business owners navigating the digital landscape.

Objective Four: To identify and analyze the obstacles encountered by SMEs when utilizing the Facebook platform for business activities in the specified areas.

Strongly Agree = SA Agree = A Disagree = D Strongly Disagree

S/N	STATEMENTS	SA	A	D	SD
18	Business owners in your community	150	130	60	59
	cannot afford the data plans required for	30.08%	25.06%	11.28%	11.03%
	internet services.				
19	Small businesss owners do not have the	140	110	70	79
	knowledge to target prospective clients	28.07%	22.04%	13.78%	19.79%
	using Facebook advert tools.				
20	SME owners cannot afford to invest in	160	120	55	64
	Facebook advert options.	32.08%	24.06%	10.53%	12.28%
21	SM owners in your community will not	145	105	80	69
	be able to maintain their Facebook	29.07%	21.04%	15.54%	13.53%
	business pages due to poor internet				
	connection in the area.				
22	Business owners in your community	40	130	110	119
	believe that using Facebook for their	7.52%	24.06%	20.08%	22.86%
	businesses will make them susceptible to				
	internet fraudsters.				

Table 6: Challenges encountered in the usage of Facebook for SMES

In summary, the survey highlights challenges faced by businesses, such as economic constraints, knowledge gaps, financial limitations, infrastructure issues, and perceptual barriers. Addressing these is crucial for formulating strategies to support the integration of Facebook and other digital tools into local business practices.

Objective Five: To explore the opinions and perspectives of SME owners regarding the integration of Facebook into their business activities within the chosen regions.

Strongly Agree = SA Agree = A Disagree = D Strongly Disagree

S/N	STATEMENTS	SA	A	D	SD
23	Facebook makes marketing easier for	120	140	75	64
	your small businesses.	21.06%	28.07%	14.79%	12.53%
24	Facebook is an inexpensive tool for	155	110	60	74
	promoting businesses in your locale.	31.08%	21.04%	11.28%	13.78%
25	Facebook encourages	90	130	90	89
	entrepreneurship among members of	17.79%	25.06%	17.54%	17.04%
	the community.				
26	Facebook can aid in creating jobs for	75	105	120	99
	individuals in your vicinity.	15.04%	21.54%	30.08%	24.79%

Table 7: SME owners' perceptions of Facebook's Impact

The survey highlights Facebook's benefits in marketing, cost-effective advertising, entrepreneurship, and job creation. These perceptions can support community initiatives to use Facebook for economic development and growth.

Discussion of Findings

The study investigated the role of Facebook in the development of SMEs in select local government areas in Nasarawa State and the Federal Capital Territory. Findings revealed that 22.56% of respondents believed that Facebook could increase the visibility of small businesses in their communities, aligning with Rugova and Prenaj (2016) who emphasized social media's potential for enhancing brand exposure and generation. Additionally, 32.58% recognized the potential of Facebook advertising in fostering SME growth, which resonates with Ibrahim et al. (2014) and Oztamur and emphasizing Karakadilar (2014),effectiveness of online platforms for business Furthermore. 37.59% promotion. of participants acknowledged the role of consistent Facebook postings in enhancing SME sales volume, supported by Wardati and Er (2019) and Kalkan and Bozkurt (2017), who emphasized social media's positive impact on sales outcomes. Moreover, 30.08% recognized Facebook's potential in building meaningful client connections, in line with Beier and Wagner (2016), highlighting the broader role of social media platforms in fostering customer engagement and loyalty. These findings collectively underscore the multifaceted benefits of Facebook for SMEs, transcending traditional marketing functions and contributing to their sustainability and competitiveness.

A significant portion of respondents, 32.08%, believe that active engagement on Facebook positively impacts business competitiveness in their regions. This aligns with research emphasizing the importance of quality content creation on social media for SMEs (Oztamura and Karakadilar, 2014; Ahamat et al., 2017). Similarly, 28.07% acknowledge the role of personal branding on Facebook in shaping market presence, supported by studies emphasizing social

media's influence on purchasing decisions (Erlangga et al., 2021). Additionally, 26.57% believe that personally shared content on Facebook contributes to building a loyal customer base, echoing findings on the effectiveness of engaging content in driving customer engagement (Brown-Peterson, 2017). Finally, 37.59% affirm that direct customer interactions on Facebook provide a competitive advantage, consistent with research showing the positive relationship between social media marketing and business competitiveness (Rambe, 2017; He and Zha, 2014). These findings collectively highlight Facebook's strategic importance for SMEs in enhancing competitiveness and market presence.

The survey reveals several obstacles that SMEs encounter when utilizing the Facebook platform in designated areas. Approximately 30.08% of respondents express concerns about the affordability of data plans required for internet services, highlighting financial constraints as a significant barrier to accessing leveraging online platforms like Facebook for business purposes. This resonates with Pervin and Sarker's (2021) emphasis on the need for initial funding and technological training to facilitate social media adoption by small businesses. Addressing these financial barriers through support mechanisms can empower SMEs to capitalize on the opportunities offered by online platforms for business growth and development. Additionally, 28.07% of respondents note a lack of knowledge among small business owners in their community regarding targeting prospective clients using Facebook advertising tools. This underscores the importance of educational initiatives to bridge the knowledge gap and equip business owners with the skills necessary to leverage advertising Facebook's capabilities effectively. Concerns about affordability are further echoed by 32.08% of respondents

who believe that SME owners cannot afford to invest in Facebook advertising options, highlighting the financial constraints faced by small businesses in accessing paid advertising features on Facebook. Improving internet connectivity and addressing security concerns related to potential susceptibility to internet fraudsters are also identified as key hindering SMEs' challenges effective utilization Facebook for business of purposes. These findings collectively underscore the need for comprehensive support mechanisms, including financial training programs, assistance, infrastructure development, to enable SMEs to overcome barriers and fully leverage the potential of Facebook for business growth and success.

The findings from the survey highlight the significant impact of Facebook on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in local communities. A considerable portion of respondents (28.07%) acknowledge Facebook's role in simplifying marketing efforts for small businesses, emphasizing its effectiveness promotional tool. This aligns with existing research, such as Alkateeb and Abdalla (2021), which underscores the positive influence of social media adoption on SME performance. Additionally, 31.08% respondents recognize Facebook as a costeffective platform for business promotion, echoing Gumus and Kutahyali's (2017) affordability findings on the effectiveness of social media marketing for SMEs. Moreover, perceptions of Facebook as a catalyst for entrepreneurship (25.06%) and job creation (30.08%) further highlight its socio-economic significance, supported by studies like Cania et al. (2020) and Morina and Gashi (2016), respectively. These insights underscore Facebook's multifaceted role in fostering business growth, facilitating economic development, and empowering individuals within local communities.

Conclusion and Recommendations

In light of the data, the conclusion drawn from the data shows the pivotal role of Facebook in SME development. The study reveals a substantial number of businesses actively using Facebook for promotional activities, indicating its relevance as a tool for marketing and brand visibility in these regions. The positive opinions expressed by respondents towards the influence of Facebook on business growth align with a growing recognition of the platform's potential in fostering entrepreneurship and creating job opportunities. However, the study also highlights challenges such as the affordability of data plans and concerns about internet fraud, suggesting the need for targeted interventions to maximize the positive impact of Facebook on small businesses. To better understand how social media affects rural economies, future research can look into different social media platforms, study specific groups of people more closely, and compare findings across various regions. This approach will help us gain a more detailed understanding of how digital technology influences economic development in rural areas.

References

- Ahamat, A., Ali, M. S. S., & Hamid, N. (2017). Factors influencing the adoption of social media in small and medium enterprises (SMEs). IJASOS- *International E-Journal of Advances in Social Sciences*, 3(8), 338. Retrieved from http://ijasos.ocerintjournals.org
- Alkateeb, M. A. A., & Abdalla, R. A. (2021). Social Media Adoption and its Impact on SMEs Performance: A Case Study of Palestine. 39-71. DOI: 10.25115/eea.v39i7.4872.
- Ayegbusi, O. (2004). Managing Small Business. Lagos: Altact Publishers.
- Banks, A., & Haan, P. (2017). Facebook and Branding of Small To Medium-Sized Enterprises. *Association of Marketing Theory and Practice Proceedings* 2017, 9. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.georgiasouthern.edu/amtp-proceedings_2017/9
- Basri, W. S., & Siam, M. R. A. (2017). Maximizing the Social Media Potential for Small Businesses and Startups: A Conceptual Study. *International Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 11(2), 241-245. http://www.econ-society.org. pp.1307-1632.
- Beier, M., & Wagner, K. (2016). Social Media Adoption: Barriers to the Strategic Use of Social Media in SMEs. *Research Papers*, 100. Retrieved from http://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2016_rp/10
- Bresciani, S., & Eppler, M. J. (2010). Brand new ventures? Insights on start-ups' branding practices. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 19(5), 356-366. doi:10.1108/10610421011068595.
- Brown-Peterson, A. J. (2017). Successful Facebook Marketing Strategies by Missouri Small Businesses: A Qualitative Case Study. Prescott Valley, Arizona.
- Cania, L., Nexhipi, O., & Korsita, B. (2020). The role of SMEs in economic development An empirical study of Albania. In *Social and Economic Challenges in Europe 2016-2020:* Proceedings of the 13th International Conference of ASECU (p. 36).
- Erken, M. (1990). Financing problems in SMEs and outsourced loans. *Annual Opium, No:* 7, Afvon.
- Erlangga, H., Sunarsi, D., Pratama, A., Nurjaya, D., Sintesa, N., Hindarsah, I., & Juhaeri, G. (2021). Effect of Digital Marketing and social media on Purchase Intention of SMEs Food Products. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education*, 12(3), 3672-3678.
- Freedman, M. (2022). Facebook is a powerful tool for small businesses. Learn how to use Facebook Pages, ads and other engagement tools.
- Gatautis, R., Vitkauskaitė, E., & de Reuver, M. (2017). Use of Facebook and Google Platforms for SMEs Business Model Innovation. In 30th Bled eConference: Digital Transformation From Connecting Things to Transforming Our Lives (June 18 21, 2017, Bled, Slovenia).
- Ghanem, R. S. K., & Hamid, N. A. (2020). The Effect of Facebook, WhatsApp, Twitter, and Email on SMEs Performance: Empirical Evidence from the United Arab Emirates. *Journal of Internet Social Networking & Virtual Communities*, 2020, Article ID 618899. https://doi.org/10.5171/2020.618899

- Golder, S. A., Wilkinson, D. M., & Huberman, B. A. (2007). Rhythms of social interaction: Messaging within a massive online network. In C. Steinfield, B. T. Pentland, M. Ackerman, & N. Contractor (Eds.), *Communities and technologies* 2007 (pp. 41-66). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-84628-905-7_3
- Gümüş, N., & Kütahyali, D. N. (2017). Perceptions of social media by small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Turkey. *International Journal of Business and Innovation*, 12(2). https://doi.org/10.6702/ijbi.2017.12.2.2
- He, W., Wang, F. K., Chen, Y., & Zha, S. (2017). An exploratory investigation of social media adoption by small businesses. *Information Technology and Management*, 18(2), 149–160.
- He, W., Wang, F.-K., & Zha, S. (2014). Enhancing social media competitiveness of small businesses: Insights from small pizzerias. *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia, Volume*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13614568.2014.889225
- Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., & Gremler, D. D. (2004). Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the Internet? *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(1), 38-52.
- Hettne, B. (2002). Current Trends and Future Options in Development. In V. Desai & R.B. Potter (Eds.), *The Companion to Development Studies*. London: Arnold & New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hettne, B. (2009). Thinking about Development. Development Matters, London: Zed Books.
- Jambulingam, M., Sumathi, C., & Rajagopal, G. R. (2016). Barriers of Venturing into Facebook Commerce among SMEs. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, 21(S2). Retrieved from http://www.icommercecentral.com
- Joinson, A. N. (2008). Looking at, looking up, or keeping up with people? Motives and use of Facebook. Paper presented at the 26th annual SIGCHI conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Florence, Italy.
- Kalkan, A., & Bozkurt, C. Ö. (2017). The use of social media in SMEs: A case study for Burdur, Turkey. *Journal of Research in Business*.
- Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68.
- Lampe, C., Ellison, N., & Steinfield, C. (2008). Changes in use and perception of Facebook. In *Proceedings of the ACM 2008 conference on computer-supported cooperative work* (pp. 721–730). New York: ACM.
- Mangold, W. G., & Faulds, D. J. (2009). Social media: The new hybrid element of the promotion mix. *Business Horizons*, 52(4), 357–365.
- Mavers, C. (2021). The Pros & Cons of Using Facebook Ads.
- McGillivray, M., Kingsbury, D., Hunt, J., McKay, J., & Clarke, M. (2008). International development: Issues and challenges. Deakin University. https://hdl.handle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30028741
- Memili, E., Fang, H., Chrisman, J., & De Massis, A. (2015). The impact of small- and medium-sized family firms on economic growth. *Small Business Economics*, 45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-015-9670-0
- Munck, R., & O'Hearn, D. (1999). Critical development theory: Contributions to a new paradigm. Zed Books.
- Myrdal, G. (1974). What is Development? Journal of Economic Issues, 8(4), 729-736.
- Öztamur, D., & Karakadılar, İ. S. (2014). Exploring the role of social media for SMEs: as a new marketing strategy tool for the firm performance perspective. Procedia Social and

- Behavioral Sciences, 150, 511–520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.09.067
- Pervin, M. T., & Sarker, B. K. (2021). Benefits and challenges in adopting social media for SMEs: A case from Bangladesh. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism and Entrepreneurship* (*JoSTE*), 2(3), 171-185. https://doi.org/10.35912/joste.v2i3.783
- Rambe, P. (2017). Social media marketing and business competitiveness: evidence from South African tourism SMMEs. *Business Perspectives*, *LLC*, Hryhorii Skovoroda Lane, 10, Sumy, 40022, Ukraine. Retrieved from www.businessperspectives.org
- Rambe, P., Mosweunyane, L., & Dzansi, D. (2015). The SMME adoption of social media technologies to enhance business competitiveness: Towards a conceptual framework. In *Global Conference on Business Management and Social Sciences GCBMS* (pp. 14-26).
- Robbins, S. P., & Singer, J. B. (2014). From the editor—The medium is the message: Integrating social media and social work education. *Journal of Social Work Education*, *50*(3), 387–390.
- Robinson, E. A. (2012). Exploring the barriers to online-business profitability for small business (Order No. 3528229) [Doctoral dissertation, Capella University]. ProQuest Dissertations & Theses database. (No. 1040760472).
- Ruggiero, T. E. (2000). Uses and Gratifications Theory in the 21st Century. Mass Communication and Society, 3(1), 3–37. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327825MCS0301_02
- Rugova, B., & Prenaj, B. (2016). Social media as marketing tool for SMEs: Opportunities and challenges. *Academic Journal of Business*, 2, 85-97.
- Sheldon, P. (2008). Student favorite: Facebook and its motives for use. *Southwestern Mass Communication Journal*, 23, 39-53.
- Stern, L. A., & Taylor, K. (2007). Social networking on Facebook. Journal of the Communication, Speech & Theatre Association of North Dakota, 20, 9-20.
- Sutcliffe, J., & Parks, Y. P. (1999). *The Hydrology of the Nile*. IAHS Special Publication No. 5, International Association of Hydrological Sciences, Wallingford.
- Tufekci, Z. (2008). Grooming, gossip, Facebook, and Myspace. *Information, Communication & Society*, 11(4), 544-564.
- Wardati, N. K., & Mahendrawathi, E. R. (2019). The impact of social media usage on the sales process in small and medium enterprises (SMEs): A systematic literature review. *Procedia Computer Science*, *161*, 976–983.
- Wiese, D., & Farrugia, R. (2009). Coordinating communication on Facebook: An analysis of meaning development through close relationships. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the NCA 95th Annual Convention, Chicago Hilton & Towers, Chicago, IL.
- Wimmer, R. D., & Dominick, J. R. (1994). *Mass media research: An introduction*. Wadsworth. Yadav, M. S., de Valck, K., Hennig-Thurau, T., Hoffman, D. L., & Spann, M. (2013). Social commerce: A contingency framework for assessing marketing potential. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 27(4), 311-323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.09.001